

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK
Khalsa Educational Complex, Guru Gobind Singh Marg, Wadala-Pathardi Road, Nashik-422009.
Ph. 0253 - 2372766, 7768004581, 82 Fax :- 0253 - 2372666
www.ggsf.edu.in • e-mail : gcoerc.nashik@ggsf.edu.in

Basic Engineering Science Department

Feedback Analysis Report A.Y.2019-20 (Sem-I)

Date: 24/10/2019

Sr. No.	Name of Staff	Average Feedback
1	Mr. S.G. Shukla	4.48
2	Mr. M.A. Amritkar	4.66
3	Mrs. P.K. Bachhav	4.36
4	Mr. V.M. Gaikwad	4.20
5	Mrs. C.D. Patil	3.92
6	Ms. P.P. Sanap	4.15
7	Ms. A.H. Bhalerao	4.28
8	Mr. A.D. Patil	4.08

BES

Dr. S. D Kalpande Academic Dean

Academic Year: 2019-2020

Program : FE-A

Name of Teacher: Mr. S. G. Shukla

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

			Offine		Online
N.	Question	Rating	Average	age	Parameter
	Name of Subject	- :			
	Th/Pr	Th Pr	Th	Pr	
		Ra	Rating (05)		Clarity of expectations of students
		4.41 4.32	4.41	4.32	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c)
_	Regularity in class conduction	_			Use of teaching aids (ICI, FFI)
	To the second of	4.19 4.43	4.19	4.43	Feedback provided on Suddens progress Feedback provided
12	Delivery of course content	127 146	4 27	4.46	Has the Teacher covered child Symmon - F
w	Teaching methodologies used for annual	4.4/	+		University:
	concepts	4.22 4.51	4.22		Has the reacher correction for students to learn
4	Understanding of course objects	4.27 4.51	4.27	4.51	Motivation and inspiration for some
5	Overall command on class and subject	4.24 4.51	4.24	4.51	Pace on which contents were correct
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	441	4.27	4.41	(a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training
1	Clarity given in evaluation format	4.67	-		(c) Case Study / Other
		4 24 4.43	3 4.24	4.43	Willingness to other nelp and advice with the Average
	At what level Course meets the expectation	+	4.26	4.45	0.50
0	Average	4.20	1		1,00
	Total Avg.		4.36		
1		i -	57		Overall Rating=4.48
ugges	uggestions for Improvement:				

Dr. S.d. Kalpande

Name of Teacher: Mrs. P.P. Sanap Academic Year: 2019-2020 Program : FE-A & B

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject
(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

	Overall Rating=4.15								
								Suggestions for Improvement: *	Sugg
	4.26			4.04				Total Avg.	
126	Average	9 4.09	3.99	4.02	4.12	4.16	3.86	Average	
1.39	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	4 4.05	3.94	4.00	4.04	4.11	3.84	At what level Course meets the expectation	œ
ţ	(a) Fractical demonstration (b) Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other	4.1.1	3.90	40.4	4.17	4.13	٠,٠٥	Charles Breen in crantation format	
	Support for the development of Students' skill		_	_		5	3 73		1
ts	Pace on which contents were covered	1 4.09	3.91	4.08	3.96	4.11	3.86	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	6
4.16	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	5 4.00	4.05	3.92	4.27	4.08	3.84	Overall command on class and subject	S
1.10	llas the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	4.07	3.91	4.04	4.04	4.11	3.78	Understanding of course objective	4
to	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.10	3.96	4.04	4.12	4.16	3.81	understanding concepts	L
\$25	Feedback provided on Students' progress	4.02	3.90	3.88	4.08	4.16	3.73	- 1	2
61.5	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT)	4.27	4.30	4.15	4.27	4.38	4.32		-
E	Clarity of expectations of students			Rating (05)	Ratir				
	3	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Th/Pr	T
Rating	Parameter	Average	A	PHY (Div-B)	ia) Id	(Y A)	(Div-A)	Name of Subject	9
				Rating	Ra	ing	Rating		2
	Online			Offine	9			Question	

Mr. S.G. Shukla HOD, BES

Dr. S.D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Name of Teacher: Ms. A.H. Bhalerao Academic Year: 2019-2020 Program : FE-C

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

	Overall Rating=4.28						
						Suggestions for Improvement : *	Sugg
	4.47		4.09	4.		Total Avg.	
4.47	Average	4.19	3.98	4.19	3.98	Average	
1.43	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	4.04	3.81	4.04	3.81	At what level Course meets the expectation	8
1.40	Support for the development of Students' skill (a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other	4.00	3.89	4.00	3.89	Clarity given in evaluation format	7
4.42	Pace on which contents were covered	3.96	3.81	3.96	3.81	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	6
1.09	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.19	3.96	4.19	3.96	Overall command on class and subject	S
4.62	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	4.30	3.85	4.30	3.85	Understanding of course objective	4
1.85	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.33	4.11	4.33	4.11	Teaching methodologies used for understanding concepts	eu.
4.47	Feedback provided on Students' progress	4.26	3,96	4.26	3.96	Delivery of course content	2
ts9	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT)	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44	Regularity in class conduction	-
4.40	Clarity of expectations of students	ı	Rating (05)	Ratin			
		Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Th/Pr	Π
Rating	Parameter	Average	Ave	M	CHM	Name of Subject	2
				ing	Rating		1
	Online		ine	Offine		Ouestion	
	.,	0	-				

Mr/S: Shukh

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean



Guru Gobind Singly Foundation's

GURU GOBIND SINGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & RESEARCH CENTRE, NASHIK

Khalsa Educational Complex, Guru Gobind Singh Marg, Wadala-Pathardi Road, Nashik-422009.
Ph. 0253 - 2372766, 7768004581, 82 Fax : 0253 - 2372666
www.ggsf.edu.in • e-mail : gcoerc.nashik@ggsf.edu.in

Basic Engineering Science Department

Feedback Analysis Report A.Y.2019-20 (Sem-II)

Date: 03/03/2020

Sr. No.	Name of Staff	Average Feedback
1	Mr. S.G. Shukla	4.15
2	Mr. M.A. Amritkar	4.13
3	Mrs. P.K. Bachhav	4.48
4	Mr. V.M. Gaikwad	4.13
5	Mrs. C.D. Patil	3.55
6	Ms. P.P. Sanap	4.29
7	Ms. A.H. Bhalerao	. 4.18
8	Mr. A.D. Patil	4.50

Mr. S. G. Shukla HOD, BES Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Name of Teacher: Mr. S. G. Shukla Academic Year: 2019-2020 Program : FE-C & A

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

	Overall Rating=4.15						
						Suggestions for Improvement : *	ugges
	4.60		3.71	3.		Total Avg.	
4.60	Average	3.18	4.24	3.18	4.24	Average	
4.64	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	3.17	4.16	3.17	4.16	At what level Course meets the expectation	8
4.50	(a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other	3.17	4.24	3.17	4.24	Clarity given in evaluation format	7
	Support for the development of Students' skill						
1.62	Pace on which contents were covered	3.08	4.22	3.08	4.22	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	6
153	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	3.25	4.32	3.25	4.32	Overall command on class and subject	S
4.59	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	3.33	4.19	3.33	4.19	Understanding of course objective	4
1.55	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	3.33	4.32	3.33	4.32	concepts	ယ
4.66	Feedback provided on Students' progress	3.08	4.19	3.08	4.19	Denvery of course content	4
4.62	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of: (a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT)	3.00	4.30	3.00	4.30	Regularity in class conduction	,
4.68	Clarity of expectations of students		Rating (05)	Ratin			
		Pr	Th	Pr	Th	Th/Pr	
Rating	Parameter	Average	Ave	Tad	PPS	Name of Subject	
			Í	ing	Rating		2
	Online		Offine	1		Question	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2			

Mr. S. G. Shukla

Dr. S. Q. Kalpande Academic Dean

Name of Teacher: Mr. M.A. Amritkar Academic Year: 2019-2020 Program: FE- A & B

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

	Question			00	Offine	9		Offine Online	
S.Z.		Rating	ng	Rating	ing				
	Name of Subject	BXE-A	À	BXE-B	Е-В	Average	age	Parameter	Rating
	Th/Pr	4T	Pr	7	P ₁	75			
Ī			:	:	=	Ξ	3		
			1	Ratin	Rating (05)			Clarity of expectations of students	1.26
-	Regularity in class conduction	3.85	3.89	4.52	4.20	4.19	4.04	(a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication	1.30
2	Delivery of course content	167	3 60					skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT)	
	Teaching methodologies used for	0.07	3.39	4.44	4.16	4.05	3.88	Feedback provided on Students' progress	121
3	understanding concepts	3.78	3.33	4.48	4.32	4.13	3.83	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	437
4	Understanding of course objective	3.89	3.37	4.48	4.40	4.18	3.89	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics hevond syllabus	17.1
s	Overall command on class and subject	3.85	3.41	4.56	4.28	4.21	3.84	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	176
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.70	3.33	4.40	4.40	4.05	3.87	Pace on which contents were covered	120
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.78	3.26	4.52	4.40	4.15	3.83	Support for the development of Students' skill (a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Case Study / Other	ţ
80	At what level Course meets the expectation	3.78	3.37	4.40	4.28	4.09	3.83	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	ts
	Average	3.79	3.44	4.48	4.31	4.13	3.87	Average	22
	Total Avg.			4.	4.00			426	
ugges	Suggestions for Improvement : *							20.00	
								Overall Rating=4.13	

Mr. S. G. Shukla HOD BES

> Dr. S.D. Kalpande Academic Dean

100 to 10

Name of Teacher: Mrs. P.K. Bachhav Academic Year: 2019-2020 Program: FE-C & B

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject (Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

	4.48		4 37			
	10	4.07	4.33	4.39	Average	1
4.60	Average	127	100	40.40	At what level Course meets the expectation	œ
2	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	4.37	4.31	4 43		
	(c) Case Study / Other			8	Clarity given in evaluation for many	7
tse	(a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training	4.38	4.38	4.38	Citation in evaluation format	
	Support for the development of Students' skill			1	a	1
402	Pace on which contents were covered	4.35	4.38	4.32	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	_
8	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	4.36	4.38	4.35	Overall command on class and subject	5
1.59	Has the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	4.29	4.25	4.32	Understanding of course objective	4
	University?	4,10	10.1	44.4	concepts	
ţ;	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by	4 40	12.7	07 7	Teaching methodologies used for understanding	
4.66	Feedback provided on Students' progress	4.42	4.44	4.41	Delivery of course content	2
	skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT, PPT)					
4.62	(a) Technical content/course content (b) Communication	4.39	4.38	4.41	Regularity in class conduction	-
	Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:					
1.68	Clarity of expectations of students)	Rating (05)			
		Pr	Pr	Pr	Th/Pr	
Rating	Parameter	Average	ТВЧ	PPS	Name of Subject	
			Rating	Rat		Z
	Online		Offine		Question	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

Mr. S. G. Shukla

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

18-

The second second second second

Name of Teacher: Mr. V.M. Gaikwad Academic Year: 2019-2020 Program : FE A & B

Rate the teaching-learning process parameters of mentioned subject

(Very Poor: 1, Poor: 2, Average: 3, Good: 4, Excellent: 5)

_								
	Question			Offine			Online	
S.Z.			Rating					
	Name of Subject	NI-II	П	M-II	Average	1ge		Pating
		(DIV-A)	خ	(Div-B)				9
T	Th/Pr	Th	Tut	Th	Th	Tut		
T			R	Rating (05)		_	Clarity of expectations of students	136
-	Regularity in class conduction			3			Effectiveness of Teacher in terms of:	
	and a conduction	4.19	3.93	4.12	4.15	3,93	(a) Technical content/course content (b)	137
3	Delivery of course contact						Communication skills (c) Use of teaching aids (ICT,	3
	Teaching methodologic	3.81	3.89	4.08	3.95	3.89	Feedback provided on Students' progress	4.28
J	understanding concepts	3.78	3.85	3.88	3.83	3.85	Has the Teacher covered entire Syllabus as prescribed by University?	4.45
4	Understanding of course objective	3.85	3.89	3.76	3.81	3.89	llas the Teacher covered relevant topics beyond syllabus	Ē
'n	Overall command on class and subject	4.00	3.81	3.96	3.98	3.81	Motivation and inspiration for students to learn	13
6	Effectiveness in meeting the course outline	3.89	3.89	3.96	3.92	3.89	Pace on which contents were covered	£36
7	Clarity given in evaluation format	3.89	3,96	4.08	3.98	3.96	Support for the development of Students' skill (a)Practical demonstration (b)Hands on training (c) Coas Students' Other	t ₃ 7
8	At what level Course meets the expectation	3.93	3.81	4.04	3.98	3.81	Willingness to offer help and advice to students.	4.43
	Average	3.92	3.88	3.99	3.95	3.88	Average	ţ
	Total Avg.			3.92			4.34	
Sugges	Suggestions for Improvement : *							
							Overall Rating=4.13	

HOD BES

Dr. S. D. Kalpande Academic Dean

Guru Gobind Singh Foundations Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Feedback analysis Corrective measures taken For the Feedback of A.Y. 2019-20

Date of Feedback - 22/10/2019 and 28/02/2020

Feedback on - Academic

Total no of responses recorded - 179

Summary of the Feedback - SEM-I and SEM-II

Following are the observations and corrective measures taken from the feedback

Sr. No	Major Observations	Corrective Measures	Responsibility
01	Students were demanding for mcq based question bank due to change in exam pattern during covid-19 situation.	Faculties were informed to provide the mcq based question bank for students	All faculties
02	Students were requesting for soft copy of teaching material	Faculties were informed to provide the soft copies of notes & presentation to all the students through moodle (MOOC)	All faculties

Bour

Feedback Coordinator

Department of Basic Engineering Science

Academic Dean

Principal

Guru Gobind Singh Foundations Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Action Taken Report

(To be filled by Head of Department)

Sr. No.	Action Taken	Status of
01	Soft copies of the mcq based question banks were provided to the	implementation
_	ota delles	Implemented
02	Use of Moodle platform was made to make the soft copies of	
	notes available to the students	Implemented

Head

Department of Basic Engineering Science

Guru Gobind Singh Foundations Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik Feedback analysis

Corrective measures taken

For the Feedback of A.Y. 2019-20

Date of Feedback - 23/10/2019 and 03/03/2020

Feedback on - Institute

Total no of responses recorded - 174

Summary of the Feedback - SEM-I and SEM-II

Following are the observations and corrective measures taken from the feedback

Sr. No	Observations	Corrective Measures	Responsibility
01	Students requested for hygienic	Hygienic food will be reviewed and added	Canteen Coordinator
OT.	food in canteen	reviewed and added	

Feedback Coordinator Department of Basic Engineering Science

Academic Dean Principal

Guru Gobind Singh Foundations Guru Gobind Singh College of Engineering and Research Centre, Nashik

Action Taken Report

(To be filled by Head of Department)

Sr. No.	Action Taken	Status of implementation
01	Now canteen committee has provided hygienic food.	Implemented

Department of Basic Engineering Science